



PTO/SB/17 (10-07)
Approved for use through 06/30/2010. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no person are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

FEE TRANSMITTAL For FY 2008 <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27		Complete if Known			
		Application Number	10/603,796-Conf. #3467		
		Filing Date	June 26, 2003		
		First Named Inventor	Chandra Mouli		
		Examiner Name	James M. Hannett		
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT		(\$)	1,743.00	Attorney Docket No.	M4065.0669/P669

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Check	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Credit Card
<input type="checkbox"/> Money Order	<input type="checkbox"/> None
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please identify): _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Deposit Account	Deposit Account Number: 04-1073
Deposit Account Name: Dickstein Shapiro LLP	
For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Charge fee(s) indicated below	<input type="checkbox"/> Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Credit any overpayments

FEE CALCULATION							
1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES							
	FILING FEES		SEARCH FEES		EXAMINATION FEES		
		Small Entity		Small Entity		Small Entity	
Application Type	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Fees Paid (\$)
Utility	310	155	510	255	210	105	
Design	210	105	100	50	130	65	
Plant	210	105	310	155	160	80	
Reissue	310	155	510	255	620	310	
Provisional	210	105	0	0	0	0	
2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES							
						Small Entity	
						Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)
Fee Description							
Each claim over 20 (including Reissues)						50	25
Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues)						200	100
Multiple dependent claims						360	180
Total Claims		Extra Claims	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)	Multiple Dependent Claims		
41 - 41 =		x	=		Fee (\$)		Fee Paid (\$)
HP = highest number of total claims paid for, if greater than 20.							
Indep. Claims		Extra Claims	Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)			
10 - 10 =		x	=				
HP = highest number of independent claims paid for, if greater than 3.							
3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE							
If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$260 (\$130 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).							
Total Sheets	Extra Sheets	Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof			Fee (\$)	Fee Paid (\$)	
- 100 =	/50 =	(round up to a whole number) x			=		
4. OTHER FEE(S)							
Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)							
Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): 1501 Utility issue fee						1,440.00	
1504 Publication fee for early, voluntary, or normal ...						300.00	
8001 Printed copy of patent w/o color						3.00	

SUBMITTED BY			
Signature		Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	28,371
Name (Print/Type)	Thomas J. D'Amico	Telephone	(202) 420-2232
		Date	April 3, 2008



Docket No.: M4065.0669/P669
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Chandra Mouli

Allowed: January 3, 2008

Application No.: 10/603,796

Confirmation No.: 3467

Filed: June 26, 2003

Art Unit: 2622

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR
REDUCING EFFECTS OF DARK
CURRENT AND DEFECTIVE PIXELS IN
AN IMAGING DEVICE

Examiner: James M. Hannett

**COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS
FOR ALLOWANCE UNDER 37 CFR §1.104(E)**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant agrees in part with the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance in that the prior art of record fails to disclose, teach or suggest the claimed invention. However, Applicant notes that the Examiner's statement fails to address all limitations of all of the allowed claims except in a general cursory manner. For example, certain claim limitations were not discussed in the Examiner's Reasons for Allowance, including those in the dependent claims, each of which defines a unique combination of features not shown or suggested by the prior art, providing additional reasons for allowance of each claim.

Applicants submit that the scope of the claims should be interpreted based on the actual language of the allowed claims, and no further limitation of the claims should be inferred from the Examiner's Statement of Reasons For Allowance.

Dated: April 3, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Thomas J. D'Amico

Registration No.: 28,371

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP

1825 Eye Street, NW

Washington, DC 20006-5403

(202) 420-2200

Attorney for Applicant